

DECISION OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, THRISSUR

HELD ON 26.09.2016

(Venue: Conference Hall 1, District Collectorate, Thrissur)

Present:

1. Dr. A. Kowsigan IAS,
District Collector Thrissur
(Chairman-RTA Thrissur)

2. R. Nisanthini IPS,
District Police Chief Thrissur
(Member-RTA Thrissur)

3. Shaji Joseph,
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
Central Zone 1
(Member-RTA Thrissur)

Item No.1.

Heard, KSRTC alleged that there is an objectionable overlapping with notified route in this case is for a distance of 3.06 Km against the distance of overlapping (2 Km) reported by the enquiry officer between East Fort and Sakthan Stand. Hence, Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire regarding the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in this case and place the application in the next meeting of RTA. For compliance of the above direction, decision on the application is **adjourned**.

Item No.2.

Heard, perused the judgment dated 25.02.2016 in WP(C) No.6136/206. Reconsidered the application for four months temporary permit. **Temporary permit is granted as applied for**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No.3.

Heard, the route overlaps the notified portion for a distance of 600 meters from Mathilakam Private Bus stand to Pallivalavu and 800 meters from Chalakkudy south to Chalakkudy North. Total overlapping with notified route is 1.4 Km against the total route length of 24 Km. The permissible limit for overlapping is 1. 2 Km only. The proposal violates clause 5(C) of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Hence, **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected**.

Item No.4.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Irinjalakkuda-Chalakkudy. **Fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

Item No.5.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Chalakkudy Private Bus Stand-Puliyilappara. **Fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

Item No.6.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Monady-Chalakkudy-Mala. The portion of the route between Kodakara to Monady is an ill served area. But applicant proposed only one trip in the morning in between these places, which is not sufficient to cater to the travelling needs of the public. Secretary RTA is directed to propose modified time schedule with more reasonable number of trips between the above places and place the application in the next meeting of RTA. For compliance of the above direction, decision on the application is **adjourned**.

Item No.7.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route Marekkad-Mala. The place Marekkad is remote one and only one trip is proposed to this place in the morning at 10.30 am, which is not sufficient to cater the travelling needs of the public. Secretary RTA is directed to propose modified time schedule with more reasonable number of trips between the above places and place the application in the next meeting of RTA. For compliance of the above direction, decision on the application is **adjourned**.

Item No.8.

Heard. A fresh regular stage carriage permit was granted by this authority in the meeting held on 12.03.2015 vide item No.10, subject to settlement of timings on the route Thirutha-Kodunagllur-Mala-Chalakkudy-Koratty-Vellangallur. This permit was

granted due to the contradiction crept in the notes submitted before this authority. Accordingly the grant was subsequently revoked on 11.03.2016, which was arrived at by circulation. RTA directed the Secretary to place a modified application on the route Kottavathil-Chalakkudy-Mala-Thirutha-Kodungallur-Vellangallur-Koratty –Chalakkudy and to consider it as a fresh application for regular permit. Now the applicant has filed a modified application, but required fees is not seen remitted. (1) Applicant is directed to remit the fee for fresh regular permit. (2) Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct a fresh enquiry on the modified application.(3)KSRTC has objected stating that the objectionable overlapping amounts for 19 Km. This objection has to be verified. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit **adjourned**.

Item No.9.

Heard, this application was adjourned by RTA in its meeting held on 03.10.2015 for want of fitness certificate from PWD authorities and fixation of fare stages. Fare stage is reported and fitness certificate is obtained. However, this RTA feels that the following matters have to be enquired and direction to be issued. (1) As per the proposed timings, applicant intends to conduct service on the route North Paravur-Azheekkode via Kottappuram Toll Junction, Kodungallur Bye-Pass, CI office Junction, Kodungallur Stand and Eriyad. On verification, of the time schedule, it is seen that applicant is concentrating his service on the sector Kodunagallur-North Paravur, which is a well served sector operated by private and KSRTC services. Only one trip is proposed from Kodungallur to Azheekkode, which is a thickly populated and ill-served area. Hence, Secretary RTA is directed to propose a revised time-schedule with more reasonable number of trips between Kodungallur-Azheekkode. (2) The inspecting officer reported that the applied route passes through Kodungallur Bye-pass which doesn't connect the main points of Kodungallur, such as Kodungallur Vadakke Nada and Thekke Nada. This is not in favour of the travelling public. Secretary is directed to conduct a specific enquiry in this matter. (3) The portion North Paravur to V.P.Thuruthu Bridge having 7.5 Km lies in Ernakulam district. Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a report regarding any

legal impediment such as (a) objectionable overlapping (b)restriction if any against issuance of permit, from Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is **adjourned.**

Item No.10.

Heard, this application was adjourned by RTA in its meeting held on 03.10.2015 for want of fitness certificate from PWD authorities and fixation of fare stages. Fare stage is reported and fitness certificate is obtained. However, this RTA feels that the following matters have to be enquired and direction to be issued. (1) As per the proposed timings, applicant intends to conduct service on the route North Paravur-Azheekkode via Kottappuram Toll Junction, Kodungallur Bye-Pass, CI office Junction, Kodungallur Stand and Eriyad. On verification, of the time schedule, it is seen that applicant is concentrating his service on the sector Kodunagallur-North Paravur, which is a well served sector operated by private and KSRTC services. Only one trip is proposed from Kodungallur to Azheekkode, which is a thickly populated and ill-served area. Hence, Secretary RTA is directed to propose a revised time-schedule with more reasonable number of trips between Kodungallur-Azheekkode. (2) The inspecting officer reported that the applied route passes through Kodungallur Bye-pass which doesn't connect the main points of Kodungallur, such as Kodungallur Vadamkuda and Thekke Nada. This is not in favour of the travelling public. Secretary is directed to conduct a specific enquiry in this matter. (3) The portion North Paravur to V.P.Thuruthu Bridge having 7.5 Km lies in Ernakulam district. Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a report regarding any legal impediment such as (a) objectionable overlapping (b)restriction if any against issuance of permit, from Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is **adjourned.**

Item No.11.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Vettukkad-Amabllur. The route length is 14.2 Km. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.1 Km from Thalavanikkara to Amballur, under the Kottayam-Kozhikkode scheme (Sl.No.17 in the annexure to G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009)]. The distance of overlapping with notified route exceeds the limit prescribed in clause 5(C) of the G.O(P) No.42/2009. Hence, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected**, without considering other merits and demerits of the application.

Item No.12.

Heard, this is application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Chanoth-Ammadam. (1)The route is length is 42 Km. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 3.3 Km. This exceeds the permissible limit prescribed in clause 5(C) of the G.O(P) No.42/2009.(2) The suitability of the of the virgin portion(canal road) is not specifically reported by the Secretary in this case. (3) Only one trip is proposed to the ill-serve area Chanoth. For the above reasons, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected**.

Item No.13.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Puzhamballam-Thrissur-Marottichal. The reported route length is 48.5 Km and distance of overlapping with notified route is 3 Km. En-route operators and KSRTC alleged that the reported route length and distance of overlapping are not correct. Hence, Secretary is directed to conduct a specific enquiry on these objections and to submit a detailed report before this authority in the next meeting. Hence, **decision on the application is adjourned**.

Item No.14.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Mulang-Thrissur. The reported length of the route is 26.5 Km and out of which a distance of 12.5 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in two stretches viz (1) Kurumali to Paliyekkara Bridge-5.1 Km (2) Ollur to KSRTC Thrissur-7.4 Km. KSRTC also vehemently objected against granting permit on notified routes. This is in violation of clause 5(C) of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Hence, **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.15.

Heard. This is application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Konnakuzhi-Chalakkudy-Ashtamichira-Mala-Koratty. Major portions of the proposed route passes through the interior places. There is also no objectionable overlapping with the notified route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

Item No.16.

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Moonupeedika-Thrissur. The length of the proposed route is 49.3 Km. The enquiry officer reported that the area from Villakkumkal to Kandassankadavu for a distance of 6 Km is ill-served and recommended the service and which is useful to the public in that area. It is also reported that the portion of the route from Vadanapally to Moonupeedika via Vadanapally Beach, Nattika Beach, Kazhimbram Beach, Koorikkuzhi Beach are also ill-served areas, but only two trips at early morning and late night(only for starting and halting) are proposed in the application. All the other trips are proposed through well-served areas. Secretary RTA is directed to submit a modified time schedule with more reasonable number of trips through the above ill

served portion. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

Item No. 17.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ottupara Bus Stand- Mullur. The reported route length is 41.5 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route. The enquiry officer reported that the proposal will be beneficial to public and students. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of the suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

Item No.18.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kottamala-Chalakkudy-Kanakamala. A temporary permit was already granted and issued with settled set of timings on the above route to the applicant in respect of stage carriage KL 04 N 2337. The length of the route is 41 Km, out of which a distance of 7 Km is virgin and a distance of 1.5 Km is reported as overlapping with notified route. The overlapping distance is within the permissible limit. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted with settled set of timings**, subject to production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. Since regular permit is granted as above, application for **temporary permit** submitted by vide item No.A(2) is infructuous and **rejected**.

Item No.19.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter-district route Angamaly-Kochukadavu-Poopathi-Kodungallur-Mala-Koratty-Chalakkudy. The length of the proposed route is 99.2 Km. Prior concurrence for issuing

this permit has already been granted by RTA, Ernakulam. A total distance of 4.5 Km is overlapping with notified route. A modified time-schedule with passing time at intermediate points as directed by this authority is also submitted by the applicant. The distance of overlapping with notified route is well within the limit prescribed under G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

Item No.20.

Heard. These are applications for fresh regular stage carriage permits filed by The Managing Director, KSRTC, Thiruvanthapuram to operate on the routes mentioned below with proposed set of timings.

- (1) Route: Mala-Vennoor-Annamanada-Thrissur
- (2) Route: Kodungallur-Irinjalakkuda-Thrissur
- (3) Route: Mala-Kodakara-Thrissur-Eravathoor
- (4) Route: Kodungallur-Poyya-Krishnankotta-Mala
- (5) Route: Kodungallur-Poyya-Krishnankotta-Mala-Kodakara, Mannuthy.
- (6) Route : Kodungallur-Thriprayar-Guruvayur-Kodungallur-Poyya-Krishnankotta- Mala.

Permits are granted on the above proposed routes with proposed set of timings, subject to production of current records of suitable stage carriages within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked without further notice.

Item No.21.

Heard, This is an application for four month's temporary permit in place of stage carriage KL 14 C 9182 which was covered by a regular permit on the route Kodakara-Mala-Annamanada-Angamali valid up to 03.02.2018. The permit of the route bus was granted by RTA Thrissur in the meeting held on 20.10.2012 vide decision in item No.13 to

Sri.V.L.Paulson and the same was issued on 04.02.2013. The permit was later surrendered and cancelled wef.04.03.2013. The above said application for four months' temporary permit is submitted by Sri.Syamjith in respect of the vehicle KL 16 8325 and produced a judgment in MVAA No. 242/2015 of Hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 07.04.2016. As per the judgment, Hon'ble STAT has directed the Secretary,RTA to re-consider the earlier rejected application after hearing the appellant and the persons who have objected to the timings of the surrendered permit of the stage carriage KL 14 C 9182, after considering the sustainability of their objections. Hence, the petitioner and objectors were called in timing conference dated 01.06.2016. The objectors have reported that they had severe objections against the timings of the surrendered and cancelled permit and also requested not to issue temporary permit in the above vacancy. Again a timings conference is scheduled to convene on 16.11.2016. Secretary, RTA is directed to place the above application for temporary permit in the next RTA meeting after completion of the hearing and obtaining a necessity report under section 87(1) of MV Act, 1988 and detailed route enquiry report with details such as total route length in the jurisdiction of sister RTAs and distance of overlapping with notified route with neat sketch. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the **application for temporary permit is adjourned.**

Item No.22.

Heard.This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted **to issue temporary permit** as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.23.

A. Perused

B. Perused

C. Heard. In obedience to the judgment in WP(C)No.25049/2010 of Hon'ble High Court and the judgment in MVAA No.298/2010 dated: 20.05.2016 and MVARP 251/2011

dated: 20-05-2016, reconsidered the renewal applications in respect of the permit. The permit holder has applied for renewal of permit, on 16-04-2010, while the earlier renewal application dated 03/05/2005, remains rejected by this RTA. The appeal filed before the Hon'ble STAT was also dismissed. The writ petition filed against the dismissal of MVAA 254/2009 was also dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court. The renewal application filed on 16-04-2010 is reconsidered in obedience to the judgment of Hon'ble High Court and order in MVAA No.298/2010. It is found that the validity of registration of the vehicle KL 8/D 5545 expired on 10-07-2009. It is therefore clear that there was no vehicle with valid registration on the permit at the time of filing renewal application and thereby the permit got ineffective and invalid for the purpose of renewal. The permit holder was aware of the fact that the vehicle covered by the permit has attained the age of 15 years as on the date of application for renewal. Therefore even if there is no delay in making application for renewal, the application itself was ineffective for the reason mentioned above. A permit without a vehicle with valid registration would not have any existence for the purpose of renewal thereafter. It is therefore presumed that the permit holder did not have any intention to operate service by renewing the permit, even the Hon'ble Court has allowed to file a fresh application for renewal. Thus permit holder failed to avail the opportunity to get the permit renewal, which expired earlier on 21-05-2005. The appellant in MVAA 298/2010, Sri. K. H. Ummer, cannot claim for the renewal of the permit in question as he has no legal right on this permit, as the same has not been transferred to him or there is no pending proceedings granting transfer of permit in his favour.

For the above reason, the renewal application in respect of permit C5/25/1995 covered earlier by SC KL 8/D 5545 is rejected.

D. Heard. Re-considered the joint application for transfer of regular permit on the route Idiyanchira-Thrissur –Pulikkakadavu in obedience to the order of Hon'ble STAT in MVAA No.298/2010. In view of the above decision rejecting the renewal application, the transfer of permit application is not maintainable and hence rejected.

E. Decision has been already taken by Secretary RTA.

Item No.24.

A. Perused

B. Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 M 5541 on the route Mannampetta-Thrissur. The validity of the permit expired on 13.03.2011. The application for renewal of permit was considered by RTA in the meeting held on 28.11.2014 and rejected on the following grounds

(1) The application for renewal not submitted in the prescribed time.

(2) Applicant not produced any suitable vehicle for replacement.

The aforesaid decision was challenged before the STAT. The Hon'ble STAT in its judgment pronounced that the appellant had filed the application for renewal of permit within the prescribed time and there is no reason for condonation for delay. Hon'ble STAT found that the applicant had filed the application for renewal of permit within the prescribed period, it cannot be then found that he had not offered any vehicle for replacement within time. In obedience to the above judgment, this authority reconsidered the application for **renewal of permit and is granted**, subject to production of NOC from HP Co., if applicable and clearance of Government dues, if any.

(C) Perused the judgment and considered the application for replacement of stage carriage KL 09 M 5541 by later model vehicle KL 07 AH 5320. As directed by the Hon'ble STAT, the stage carriage KL 09 M 5541 had not become fifteen years old, at the time of filing the application for renewal of permit resulting in the application for replacement was during the time of validity period of the permit, if it was considered and subsequently renewed. Hence, **replacement allowed**, subject to clearance of government dues if any in respect of out-going vehicle, if any.

Item No.25.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated

08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Item No.26.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Item No.27.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Item No.28.

Heard.

(A) Perused.

(B) This is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 J 5301 covered by regular permit on the intra-district route Vadanapally-Mala expired on 19.07.2014. An in time application for renewal of permit was filed on 30.06.2014. The vehicle above was under form G wef. 01.01.2015. The vehicle KL 10 J 5301 had attained 15 years of age on 06.03.2015. On 06.03.2015, the permit holder filed an application for replacement of vehicle KL 10 J 5301 by stage carriage KL 13 K 5005. Since the application for renewal of permit was intime, **renewal of permit is granted**, subject to

production of NOC from HP Co., if any and clearance of Motor Vehicle Tax and Government dues if any.

(C) In view of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 05.08.2016 in WP(C) No. 24939/2016 and since the renewal of permit is granted as above, considering the replacement application filed is in time, **replacement of stage carriage KL 10 J 5301 by KL 13 K 5005 is allowed** subject to clearing of any Government dues and motor vehicle tax in respect of out-going vehicle.

Item No.29.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Item No.30.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Item No.31.

Heard, Secretary is permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.32.

Heard, Secretary is permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.33.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.34.

Heard, Secretary is permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.35.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.36.

Heard, perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 09.08.2016 in WP(C) No.25969/2016. The permit No.8/3/2003 was valid up to 29.01.2013 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 AK 7299 on the inter-district route Guruvayur-Palakkad. The vehicle KL 08 AK 7299 covered by the permit was issued with clearance certificate on 29.10.2012 by keeping the permit under suspended animation. Application for renewal of permit was filed in vacuum (without any vehicle) on 25.01.2013, belatedly. An application for replacement of vehicle(KL 08 AK 7299) by another stage carriage KL 08 AX 5151 was filed only on 12.11.2015. The applicant failed to substitute any vehicle to this permit within the reasonable time limit as directed by Hon'ble STAT in MP No.565/2012 in MVARP No.202/2012 or within the maximum permissible duration of 4 months from the date of clearance certificate. No

valid reason for delay in substituting the vehicle is submitted by the permit holder before this authority. Also Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the judgment dated 26.10.2015 in WA No.2175 of 2015[filed against the judgment dated 07.09.2015 in WP(C) No.24250 of 2015] has observed that application for renewal of permit filed while there was no vehicle number being endorsed in the permit and application for replacement of vehicle filed after the validity of permit are non-considerable. For the above reasons, **applications for renewal of permit, temporary permit and replacement of vehicle are rejected.**

Item No.37.

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is **adjourned** in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.38.

(1) Heard. The vehicle covered by the permit KL 8/Q 8791 attained 15 years of age on 28-09-2015. The regular permit was valid up to 30-03-2016 and application for renewal was filed on 04-02-2016. It was a condition of the permit held by SC KL 8/Q 8791, that the vehicle shall be replaced before attaining the age of 15 years within the valid period of permit. The permit holder failed to comply the said condition and thereby the permit got invalid from the date of attainment of 15 years of age by the SC KL 8/Q 8791. The permit holder applied for renewal while the permit was ineffective and invalid due to non-replacement of vehicle. A permit without a vehicle on it cannot be treated as effective or valid.

Earlier on 12-03-2015, this permit was allowed to transfer to one Paulson. M. D. by this RTA. But the Hon'ble High Court vide judgement in WP(C)No.12858/2015(f) dated: 21-08-2015 has stayed the transfer grant proceedings of the RTA and in effect the permit remains with the permit holder, Sri. Johar and the proposed transferee has

no right to offer vehicle for replacement. The permit holder, Sri. Johar would have offered vehicle before 22-09-2015, as he was the permit holder on that date. In conclusion, it is found that for the reasons stated above, the permit NO.8/1005/2006 covered by SC KL8/Q 8791 held by Sri. Johar is not eligible for obtaining renewal. **Hence the application for renewal of this permit is ineffective and is rejected.**

(2) Heard. The vehicle offered for replacement is SC KL06/B 8710 registered in the name of Sri. Paulson. M.D. Consequent to the judgment of WP(C)No.12858/2015 dated: 21-08-2015, the registered owner of offered vehicle lost his eligibility for offering a vehicle on the permit held by Sri. Johar. The renewal application is rejected as above in item No.(1)of 38 of this RTA. For the above reasons, **the replacement application is rejected.**

Item No.39.

Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.40.

Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.41.

A(1) Heard. This is an application for renewal of permit on the route Chavakkad – Chavakkad valid up to 25.12.2015. Renewal application was received on 10.12.2015, which was in time. Tax in respect of the vehicle is due for the period 01.01.2014 to 31.01.2014 and the vehicle is under G form from 01.02.2014. Section 15 of KMVT Act prescribed that if the tax due in respect of transport vehicle is not paid within the

prescribed period the validity of permit for that vehicle shall become ineffective from the date of expiry or the said period until such time as the tax is actually paid. Hence the permit renewal of the vehicle cannot be considered as the vehicle is in arrear for the period 01.01.2014 to 31.01.2014. Hence rejected.

2) In view of this decision in Agenda (1) , the application for 4 month TP U/s 87(1),(d), cannot be considered and rejected.

Item No.42.

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-10-E-9180 operating on the route Kadukutti-Mathilakam valid up to 23/08/2016.The application for renewal has been received on 28/07/2016 which was in time.

On 15/07/2016 ,the applicant has produced judgement in WP© No.20394/2016 , dated 20/06/2016 , where in the RTA had been directed to consider the application for replacement in respect of Stage Carriage KL-10-E-9180 with Stage Carriage KL-08-Y-2124.In obedience to the judgement,the proposed replacement had been allowed by this authority. Considering the whole facts,the application for renewal is granted subject to the HP NOC , if applicable and clearing of any Government revenue such as Check report,LAR,IAR and other dues.

Item No.43.

Heard. This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.44.

Heard. This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.45.

Heard. This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.46.

Heard. This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to issue temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Item No.47.

Heard. This is an application for grant of variation of permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-06-D-1558, operating on the route Thrissur-Elthuruth College valid up to 18/11/2020. Permit holder has applied for variation of permit, so as to operate on the route, by changing the route from Swamipalam to Elthuruth via Puthussery Moola, Kariattukara, Chemmanaamoola, Thoppilmoola. The enquiry report reveals that the curtailment of existing four entire trips starting at 6 am from Elthuruth College to Thrissur, at 6.25 am starting Thrissur to Elthuruth , at 8.18 pm starting from Elthuruth to Thrissur and at 8.45 pm starting from Thrissur to Elthuruth. The other two curtailment portions are Puthusserymoola to Elthuruth College (400 meters) in entire trips and West Fort to Thoppilmoola via Nethaji Road (900 meters) in first twelve trips. In every curtailment, the travelling facility is adversely affected for travelling public and school children and reported that starting and halting places is changed from Elthuruth College to Elthuruth and in the guise of variation, the applicant has an intention to change the entire timings of the existing time schedule. This is also a huge burden for the existing passengers on the route. It amounts to violation of section 80(3) of MV Act and there is no necessity under rule 145(6) , (7) of KMV Rules 1989. Moreover enhancement of trip in

notified area has not been mentioned in the report as per clause 19 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.**Hence Rejected.**

Item No.48.

Heard. This is an application for grant of variation of permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-08-AX-1855 on the route East Pullankandam-Thrissur valid up to 21/04/2019. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit so as to operate on the route by trip variation from Panambilly to Kundukadavu via Marottichuvadu and grant variation by turning from Panambilly to Marottichuvadu without changing of existing timings. Enquiry report reveals that the curtailment of 6 kilometers from Kundukadu to Pullankandam via Kattilapoovam in all trips in existing time schedule and variation of 7 kilometers from Panampilly to Pullankandam in all trips. It could not be ascertained due to lack of proposed timings from the report. Secretary RTA is directed to ascertain the following details and place before the meeting of RTA.

1. Proposed timings shall be made available as per the curtailment and deviation.
2. Whether violation of clause 19 of G.O(P) No.45/2006/Tran, dated 14/07/2009.
3. Comparative study on the proposed variation considering the vacancy of services on the curtailed and extension portion. **Hence adjourned.**

Item No.49.

Heard, this is a fast passenger Stage carriage permit (8/1500/2000) on the route Kozhikode-Azhikode Valid up to 31.03.2015. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case ,Secretary RTA is permitted to seek concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.50.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit on the route Poklayi-Puthiya Road beach-Anapuzha-Kottakadavu (via) Kodungallur, Kothaparamba-Modern Hospital, Kara,

Kunjaini, Puthussery Anchangadi, Asmabi College by extending route from Kottakadavu to Kappilaparambu and rearrangement of existing trips. 1) Enquiry Officer reported that distance of 16.5 kilometers overlap in the existing route. It is not specified whether there is increase in trip in the existing notified area, as per the rearrangement of trip in the proposed variation. Secretary RTA is directed to specify whether there is any increase of existing number of trips in notified area. 2) It is also reported that curtailment of trip at 7.00am does not adversely affect to college students of Asmabi College and that trip to Mala is beneficial to Govt ITI Students Mala in which, the reporting officer doesn't specify the travelling facility of the general public which is also to be ascertained by Secretary RTA. **Hence adjourned.**

Item No.51.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL.06/C 4995 on the route Kariattukara-Chemmanamoola-Thrissur. Enquiry officer has reported that all curtailment will be adversely affecting the travelling public and school children of that area, even though the extension from Swamipalam to Chammanammoola is beneficial to traveling public and students of that area. It is also reported that there is no necessity under rule 145 (6) & (7) of KMV Rules, 1989. **Hence rejected.**

Item No.52.

Heard. This is a fast passenger SC permit (8/1010/2001)on the route Kodungallur-Kozhikkode Valid up to 15.11.2013. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case, Secretary RTA is permitted to seek concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.53.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of SC KL 08/ X 4374 on the route Mothirakanni-Irijalakuda-Thirumudikunnu Leprosy Hospital. The

portion Mothirakanni to Peelarmuzhi (4km) is a Virgin portion which needs fitness certificate from the concerned road authorities. Enquiry officer in his report doesn't mention regarding the increase of existing number of trips through notified route specified in clause 19 of G.O (P) No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.7.2009. Secretary is directed to obtain fitness certificate from concerned road authorities and ascertain the violation of clause 19 of G.O (P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and place the application in the next meeting. For compliance of the above directions decision on the application for variation of permit **adjourned.**

Item No.54.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of SC KL17/D 5868 operating on the route Madathumpady-Alukkakadavu. Field officer has reported that total distance of curtailment is 36kms and it violates conditions prescribed in section 80(3)(1) of MV Act 1988. Moreover a deviation of a distance of 20Kms. is noticed which is not in compliance to the provisions of section 80(3)(i) of MV Act. It is also reported that in the variation, journey to one side only is beneficial to public on the route karingachira, Mankidi, Patteppadam while proceeding from Mala to Irinjalakuda and journey to one side only is beneficial to the public on the route Kottamuri, Valiyaparamba while proceeding from Mala to Madathumpady (Via) Pooppathy. Enquiring Officer reported that the vehicle is plying in nationalized/notified sector Vellangallur – Irinjalakuda (4 Kms.). But increase in number of number of trips violating clause 19 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 is not specified.

Hence application for **variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No.55.

Heard. This is a fast passenger SC permit (8/40/2000) on the route Thiruvilwamala-Kozhikkode-Thrissur Valid up to 08.03.2015. As per the order of govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case Secretary RTA is permitted to seek concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with

specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.56.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of SC KL.8/W 5005 on the route Thekkummuri (Kalayapuram) Thrissur. It is reported that there is an overlapping of 2Km. from Thrissur to Wet Fort lying in the notified scheme (Sl. No. 7) TVPM, Kannur G.O. (P) 42/2009/Tvm dated 14.07.2009). But enhancement of trip in the notified area not specified by the field officer. It is also reported that the intention of the registered owner to change the starting and halting place to be treated as a fresh application and there is no necessity under Rule 145(6) (7) of KMV Rules 1989. **Hence rejected.**

Item No. 57.

Heard. This is an application for variation of stage carriage permit in respect of KL 10/P 5439 on the route Thrissur – Pullazhy. Field Officer has reported that there is no additional overlapping due to variation. He also stated that it is only a extension of trip and no curtailment and the variation is beneficial to the travelling public in the extended portion. Hence **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No.58.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of SC KL 08/AP 3007 on the route Kariattukara – Kayyalapuram – Thrissur .Field officer has reported that there is an overlapping of 2 KM from Thrissur to West Fort (Sl. No.7 of G.O.(P) 42/2009/Tvm dated 14.07.2009) notified scheme. But enhancement of trip in the notified sector not specified by the field officer. It is also reported that the intention of the registered owner is to change the halting place form Ochamthuruth to Kariattukara is to be treated as a fresh application and there is no necessity under Rule 145(6), (7) of KMV Rules 1989. **Hence rejected.**

Item No.59.

Heard. This is an application for variation of stage carriage permit in respect of KL 9/M 3535 operating on the route Chettupuzha junction-Sakthan Thampuran Bus stand-Thrissur via Elthuruth Junction, Pulikkanmoola, Padinjareangadi, Thoppinmoola, Nethaji Ground, Mental Hospital and West Fort . Enquiry reveals that there is an overlapping portion of 2km from Thrissur to West Fort in the notified scheme. But enhancement of trip under clause 19 of G.O(P) No.42/2006/Tran, dated 14/07/2009 in this notified sector, not reported by field officer. It is also reported that the vehicle starts, it's trip from Chettupuzha and halts at Kariattukara, which seems to be violation of section 80(3)(i) of MVAct 1988.Enquiry officer reported that every curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public and school children of that area and the extension form Elthuruth Kappela to Swamipalam is beneficial to the travelling public and the students of that area.This needs a detailed and specific enquiry on the comparative advantage of both extension and curtailment of trips sought for. Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed enquiry on this matter mentioned above and place before next RTA meeting.
Hence adjourned.

Item No.60.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of KL 6/D 2852 on the route Azhimugham-Thrissur There is an overlapping portion of 0.5km from Chavakkad to Manathala South ,which is against clause 19 of GO(P) No.42/2009/Tran dt 1.07.2009. It is not reported by the field officer whether there is increase of trip in the existing notified sector from Vatanappilly to Moonamkallu. It is also reported that the proposed variation by extension from Anchangadi Valam to Chavakkad is through a well served area and the curtailment of one trip from Anchangadi Valam to Azhimugham will adversely effect the travelling public. Hence **rejected.**

Item No. 61.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit is SC KL7/AH 2463 operating on the route Kariattukara -Thrissur. Motor Vehicle Inspector has reported that there is an overlapping portion for 2km from Thrissur to West first in the notified scheme. But he has not reported whether there is enhancement of trip in the existing notified area as per clause 19 of G.O.P(No) 42/2009/Tran dted 14.7.2009. It is also reported that the intention of the registered owner is to change the starting and halting place from Ochamthuruthu to Kariattukara and is to be treated as a fresh permit application. No necessity under Rule 145 (6 and 7) is stated by the enquiry officer. Hence **rejected**.

Item No.62.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 08/AA 2446 operating on the route Ambalanada-Ayyanthole. As per the enquiry report, the portion of existing route for 7km from Ollur to Thrissur and 2 km from West fort to Thrissur lies under notified schemes. It is not reported whether there is enhancement of trip in the existing notified area as per clause 19 of G.O (P) No. 42/2009/Trans dated 14.7.2009. The registered owner intends to change the starting and halting place from Trikkur to Manali which is to be treated as a fresh application. The curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public between the portion Kunjanmpuzha to Trikkur Mathikunnu temple for distance of 4.7kms. It is also reported that there is no necessity under Rule 145(6) (7) of KMV Rule 1989. **Hence rejected**.

Item No.63.

Heard. This is an application for Grant of Variation of permit inrespect of Stage carriage KL.09/M 2023 operating on the route Ayyanthole-Mangad. Route enquiry officer reported that the permanent curtailment of Kunnamkulam to Mangad area and single trip curtailment from Kunnamkulam to Kachery will adversely affect travelling public on that area. Hence, **rejected**.

Item No.64.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit is by Stage carriage KL 48/J 2158 on the route Wadakkanchery-Wadakkanchery. A distance of 2.7 km from Thali to Thachankunnu is reported as virgin. Fitness certificate not produced from concerned road authorities. The route enquiry report not specified the curtailment portion, extension and any overlapping in notified scheme. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to submit a detailed and specific route enquiry report along with fitness certificate of virgin portion and place before next RTA .Hence **adjourned**.

Item No.65.

A. Perused.

B. Heard. Application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage registration no. KL 08 AX 3006 , so as to curtail the route portion from Choondal to Koonamoochi via Choondal hospital and to deviate the trip through Choondal centre, instead of Choondal hospital was rejected by RTA Thrissur in its meeting held on 8/8/2011 vide item no. 41.An appeal was filed against the rejection order before the honorable STAT and the honorable STAT in its order in MVAA No.404/2011 dated 8/5/2015 , allowed the appeal in part. The hon'ble STAT upheld and confirmed the order of RTA , rejecting the application for deviation of trip and directed to reconsider the decision in the application for curtailment of route portion from Choondal to Koonamoochi (via) Choondal hospital. Reconsidered the application, wherein the applicant has requested to reconsider the application to curtail the route portion from Choondal to Koonamoochi (via)choondal hospital. On enquiry of the field officer , it is reported that the curtailment will adversely affect the traveling public and school children of that area, because the proposed curtailed portion is less served and there is shortage of bus services. Travelling public especially patients to the hospital will be badly affected. In the application two types of request has been submitted, i.e. curtailment and deviation. Both are interrelated. The application for curtailment exists only if deviation is allowed. The deviation has been rejected by RTA in its meeting, dated 8/8/2011 and rightly upheld and confirmed by the honorable STAT in its decision vide

MVAA No. 404/2011 dated 8/5/2011. The curtailment does not co exist with an invalid and non-considerable deviation application, in view of the objectionable overlapping of Kottayam- Kozhikode notified scheme and it's violation of clause 19 of GO (P) No.45/2006/Tran dated 14/7/2009. Hence the request cannot be considered and stands **rejected**.

Item No.66.

Heard. This is an application for grant of variation of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL.06/D 6444 operating on the route Azhikode-Kottappuram-Kottakadavu. Route enquiry officer reported that total variation including extension and curtailment is below 24kms. He has also reported that the proposed extension is highly advantageous to the traveling public. He also reported that there is necessity under Rule 145(6) (7) of KMV rules 1989. Moreover no violation of clause 19, of notification no 42/2009/tran dated. 14.7.2009 is reported. Hence decision on the application for **variation of permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No.67.

A. Perused.

B. Heard. This is a fast passenger SC permit (8/22989/2002) on the route Azheekode-Kozhikode Valid up to 04/12/2012. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case ,Secretary RTA is permitted to obtain concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.68.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit is respect of stage carriage KL-08 AK 6003 operating on the route Pullazhi-Thrissur-Medical College-Mundathicode-Kottappuram-Erumapetty .Enquiry officer has not reported comparative advantage in

the curtailment and extension portion. The total distance of variation is not specified in the report and proposed time schedule is not published in the agenda. Secretary RTA is directed to conduct an enquiry and report comparative advantage and prepare agenda including proposed time schedule and place in the next RTA meeting Hence **Adjourned**.

Item No.69.

A Perused

B. Heard. An objection has been received from We one Kuries Limited, claiming that a hire purchase loan availed by the permit holder and NOC is not availed by the permit holder. Secretary RTA is directed to enquire and report the genuineness of objection. **Hence Adjourned.**

C. Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of SC KL 10/R 7352 on the route Mariyapuram-Thrissur. Enquiry Officer reported that the trip starts at 5.40 am from Mariyapuram, the vehicle runs as almost empty. There is another service at 5.50AM (via Anchery, the place hardly 500 metres away from Mariyapuram. Many services are being operated via the nearby places such as Anchery and Kuriachira at the time of proposed curtailment. Hence proposed curtailment does not effect the travelling public in that area very much. However a complaint seen submitted by Sri. K.B. Ratheesh ,District Asst. Secretary, All India Forward Bloc,Thrissur Dist Committee against the curtailment of trip at 5.40 AM and 8.40PM. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to enquiry regarding the genuineness of the complaint and place before the next meeting of RTA .**Hence Adjourned**.

Item No.70.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of Stage carriage KL46/A 7530 on the route Chirakkal-Thrissur, so as to curtail the portion Puzhakkal to West fort (via) Punkunnam at the trip at 1.37 pm from Kunnamkulam and to deviate from Puzhakkal to West fort (via) Ayyanthole. It is reported by the field Officer that the

curtailment adversely affect the travelling public and the disadvantages is more compared to advantage due to deviation. Further the deviation will adversely affect the present traffic arrangement and there is no necessity under Rule 145(6), (7) of KMV Rules. Hence **rejected**.

Item No.71

A1.Perused

A2 Heard. This is an application for grant of variation of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 05/U 8487 operating on the route Mundathicode-Ayyanthole. MVI reported that the portion of the route from Thrissur to Velappaya for 8.7 km lies under the notified scheme (GO No 42/2009/TRM dtd. 14.7.2009 No. 20) Ernakulam – Guruvayoor-Kannur. But he has not specified any violation of clause 19 of the scheme. He also reported that the deviation (via) Medical college is helpful to the travelling public, but the said portion is a well served and lot of stage carriages are conducting service. The entire timings are also changed in the guise of variation. There is no necessity under Rule 145 (6) and (7) of KMV rules. **Hence Rejected.**

Item No.72.

1) Heard. This is an application for grant of variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08/AT 9096 operating on the route Pullazhi-Peechi Dam. Enquiry officer reported that curtailment of last trip from Mannuthy to Thrissur and back does not affect the travelling public, since this segment is well served area. The proposed extension to Akkarapunam at 9.10 PM would be helpful to the residents of that area Hence **Variation granted** subject to settlement of timings

2) Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co, if applicable, and clearance of Motor Vehicle Tax and all Government dues, if any.

Item No.73.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit is of stage carriage KL 08/V 9256 operating on the route Chelakkara-Thrissur. The application was placed before the meeting of the RTA,Thrissur held on 12.03.2015 and decided as follows.” This is an application for variation of permit by introducing a new trips from Ottapalam to Chelakkara at morning and changing the halting place from Chelakkara to Ottapalam. As per the report of the field officer the Ottapalam,Mayannur sector is well served one. He also reported that for the first trip proposed to be started at 7.03 AM, the available time gap is very less in that sector during peak hours. On verification of time it is found that vehicle reach at 7.03 AM at Chelakkara by the proposed timings and to be started at 7.15 AM to Mayannur. As per this there cannot b any clash from thr time sheet. The field officer further reported that any inclusion of service may result in unhealthy competition between the existing operators and jeopardize the existing time gap. It is observed that he had substantiated without any statistical support. Hence Secretary is directed to make an enquiry in this regard and report the facts with statistical evidence and whether the deviation is accordance with the provisions of Sec.80(3) (ii)of MV Act. Hence decision on the application is adjourned.”

On perusal of the adjourned report, it is seen that Secretary RTA has not fully complied to the decision of RTA dated 12.03.15. In the detailed enquiry report submitted by Joint RTO Wadakkanchery, he has reported that there is enough time gap for conducting clash free service on the portion Chelakkara to Mayannur. But the enquiry officer has not reported the details of time the existing time gap between the portion from Mayannur to Ottappalam and whether that deviation is in accordance with the provision of Section 80(3), (ii) of MV Act 1988. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a specific enquiry report in this case .**Hence Adjourned.**

Item No.74.

Heard. Reconsidered the application for grant of variation of permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-10-T-9703 operating on the route Thriprayar-Thrissur via Chemmappilly,Muttichur,Valamukku,Nayarangadi,Francis Lane, Kandassankadavu,

Kanjani and Olari as ordinary service by curtailing the trip from Muttichur centre to Anchangadi and between Nayarangadi to Assarimoola. This matter was considered by this authority in its meeting dated 12/03/2015 and decided that "as per the report of field officer, route curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public. He also reported that there is only one another Stage Carriage service in this sector and this sector is an ill served and remote area. Under these circumstances, it is found that the variation is not to the interest of travelling public and against the provision of sub section 80(3)(ii) of MV Act 1988, hence application is rejected."

Subsequently on 18/07/2016, applicant has produced a judgement in WP© no.22136/2016(N), dated 05/07/2016 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, directing the RTA to consider the application submitted by the petitioner after providing a copy of the field officer's report and take a decision on the same. In obedience to the above order, Secretary RTA has provided a copy of the enquiry report of field officer to the applicant.

Application for variation is reconsidered by this authority and found that the applicant has not submitted any objection against the report of field officer and also feels that there is no change in the circumstances that prevailed at the time of previous consideration by the RTA. It is found that variation is devoid of merits. **Hence, rejected.**

Item No.75.

A,B,C. Perused and heard. This is an application for variation of permit on the route Thamarassery-Urakam as LSOS from LSFP and the registered owner of the Stage Carriage KL-57-F-9072 covered by the above said permit has requested that the connected files may be transferred to RTO, Malappuram, since the major portion of the route now lies in Malappuram District. **Hence, allowed the request by the permit holder.**

Item No.76.

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08/AR 9372 operating on the route Mundur-Panamanna-Cherikallu so as to operate by curtailing the portion of trip from Kulapully to Cherikallu and extension from Thrissur

round to Sakthan stand .Enquiry officer reported that the vehicle is now operating on the route Mundur-Panamanna-Cherikallu (via) Thrissur, Wadakkanchery & Shornur, Ottapalam and passing through Thrissur round and North Stand. KSRTC/Railway station and Kuruppam Road junction which is only in one trip, starting at 7.35pm which seems to be incorrect, since the starting time at 7.34 pm. Report from the enquiry officer reveals that increase in the length of the route due to variation is 2.5 KM from Muncipal Stand Junction to Kuruppam Road Junction in Swaraj Round. Out of this stretch ,1 KM distance from Sakthan stand to Railway station overlaps the Kottayam-Kozhikode notified scheme in GO(P) No 42/2009/Trans dt 14.07.2009. The above proposed variation is against the clause 19 of above said notification. It is also reported by the Motor vehicles Inspector Pattambi, that Kulapully to Cherikallu having 11.7 KMs in length is curtailed, which is an ill served area. In view of above findings, the application for variation is **Rejected**.

Item No.77.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of SC KL 08/B 9118 operating on the route Pattambi-Chavakkad-Wadakkanchery. Enquiry officer has not specified any enhancement of trips in the proposed timings. He reported that only change in halting place from Karukaputhur to Nelluvayi and not specified violation of clause 19 of GO (P) No 45/2006/ from dtd 14.7.2009 It is noticed that certain changes have been made in the existing timings, which may lead to unhealthy competition among the services on the route. Secy RTA is directed to specify the feasibility of the proposed timing and report regarding violation of clause 19 and enhancement of trip.

Adjourned.

Item No.78.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-14-C-9990 operating on the route Ernakulam-Guruvayur (via)Muttar,FACT,Pathalam,Koonamavu so as to operate on the route Ernakulam-Guruvayur via Edappilly-Varappuzha bridge,Koonamavu. This application was

considered by this RTA held on 3/10/2015 in supplementary item number 17 and decided to adjourn as the report is not clear whether the proposed variation is advantageous to the travelling public. He has also not reported specifically the impact of curtailment in certain areas.

As per the enquiry officer's report from North Parur the proposed variation from Koonamavu to Kunnampuram(via) Varappuzha bridge is only 8 kilometer, where as route from Koonamavu to kunnampuram(via) Pathalam is 16 kilometers and it will be beneficial for travelling public to use Varappuzha bridge to reach the Kochi city quickly and reported that the impact of curtailment is negligible compared to the advantages.

Reconsidered the application. This authority feels that the application for variation needs concurrence from the sister RTA Ernakulam,since applied curtailment is above 10 kilometers.Moreover it has to be ascertained ,any policy matter had been taken by the sister RTA Ernakulam to restrict any new service through the Varappuzha bridge , which is under their jurisdiction. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No.79.

A. Perused.

B.Heard.This is an application for variation of permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-34-B-596 operating on the route Ernakulam-Guruvayur via Ghosree bridge ,Vyipin,Cherayi,North Paravur,Kodungallur,Chavakkad as LSOS.The applicant sought for variation so as to operate Ernakulam-Guruvayur via Ernakulam High Court,Container Road , Varappuzha bridge,Koonamavu,KMK Junction,Kodungallur and Chavakkad. This application for variation was considered by this authority in its meeting held on 3.10.2015 and decided as follows. "From the application and report of field officer, it is seen that the entire present route is completely changed to a new route in new area So this application can be treated as an application for new permit in the guise of variation. The field officer reported that the proposed route is objectionally overlapping with the scheme Aluva – Chathanad under notification No. 65598/TA16/PW dtd 28.08.1961 and will lead to unhealthy competition with other enroute operators. Hence rejected"

Subsequently the applicant filed an appeal before Hon. STAT and the appellate authority directed to consider the application for variation of permit in the light of observations made and direction laid down by the Hon'ble Court in Sushama Vs RTA 2014(2) KLT 361

Re considered the application. This authority feels that the applied variation portions are lying in the jurisdiction of the sister RTA, Ernakulam and needs concurrence. Moreover it has to be ascertained, if any policy decision had been taken by the RTA Ernakulam to restrict any service through the Varapuzha bridge and Container road.

Adjourned.

Item No.80.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 45/A 56 operating on the route Kunnamkulam-Thrissur Irinjalakuda-Kodungallur. Enquiry Officer reported that the total variation distance is 108 Kms which is not in compliance to section 80(3) (1) of MVAct 1988.

1) Secretary RTA is directed to ascertain the actual distance covered by the variation and it is also not reported as the enhancement of trip in the notified area violates clause 19 of G.O(P) No. 42/2006/ from dated 14.7.2009

2) Field Officer reported that early morning and late evening trip curtailment has not made any harm to school and college students . He did not mention any disadvantage to generate public on the curtailed route and by proposed variation resulting in change of termini ,which is also need to be enquired by Secretary RTA. Hence, **adjourned.**

Item No.81.

Heard. This is an application for variation of regular permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-08-W-1868 operating on the route Edappal-Kunnamkulam (via) Sraikadavu,Chirakkal,Pazhanji,Porkalam as ordinary service. Applicant applied for variation so as to operate on the route Kunnamkulam- Edappal via Kakkad,Porkulam,Mangad,Pazhanji,chirakkal at 7.55 A.M to change as 8.05 A.M and trip

at 1.12 P.M. Field officer reported that the proposed variation will benefit travelling public of that areas Kunnamkulam Court ,Kakkad and Mangad.

Considering the facts in the records and report of enquiry officer the variation is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings.

Item No.82.

A:- Perused

B:- Perused.

Heard. This is a fast passenger stage carriage permit (8/38/1995) on the route Ernakulam-Kozhikkode Medical College Valid upto 29.08.2015. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case, Secretary RTA is permitted to obtain concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.83:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.84:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.85:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.86:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.87:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.88:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.89:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.90:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.91:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.92:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.93:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.94:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.95:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.96:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.97:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.98:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.99:- Heard. As requested by the applicants permitted to withdraw the application for transfer of permit.

Item No.100:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.101:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.102:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.103:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.104:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.105:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.106:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.107:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.108:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.109:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.110:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.111:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.112:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.113:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.114:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.115:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.116:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.117:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.118:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.119:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.120:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.121:- Heard. Delay condoned. Transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2)Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.122:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.123:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.124:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.125:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.126:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.127:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.128:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.129:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.130:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.131:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.132:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.133:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.134:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.135:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.136:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.137:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.138:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.139:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.140:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.141:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.142:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.143:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.144:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.145:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.146:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.147:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.148:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.149:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.150:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.151:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.152:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.153:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.154:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.155:- Heard. As requested by the applicants permitted to withdraw the application for transfer of permit.

Item No.156:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.157:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.158:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.159:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.160:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.161:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.162 Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.163 Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.164 Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.165:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.166:- Duplication. See item No.151

Item No.167:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.168:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.169:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.170:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.171:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.172:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.173:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.174:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.175:- Heard. RTO to examine and report about the complaint submitted by the Counsel of Sri. T. K. Manzoor regarding the O.S.No.136/2016 of Thrissur Sub Court II and place before ensuing RTA.

Item No.176:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.177:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.178:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.179:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.180:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.181:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.182:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.183:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.184:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.185:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.186:- Absent. Adjourned.

Item No.187:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.188:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.189:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.190:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.191:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.192:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.193:- A.Perused.

B. Heard. Transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.194:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.195:- A.Perused.

B. Heard. Transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.196:- A.Perused.

B. Heard. Transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.197:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.198:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.199:-

A.Perused.

B. Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

C. Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.200:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.201:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.202:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.203:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.204:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.205:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.206:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.207:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.208:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.209:- Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.210:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.211:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.212:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.213 Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.214:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.215:- Heard, As requested by the applicants permitted to withdraws the application for transfer of permit.

Item No.216:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.217:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.218:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.219:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.220:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.221:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.222:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No.223:-

A. Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) Endorsing renewal of permit (2) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (3) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

B. Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No.224:- Decision already taken by vide item No.90

Item No.225:- Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Item No 226. Secretary RTA has placed this item as a departmental one In this case he revealed that legal opinion has been sought from the Law Officer in Motor vehicle department which is yet to be obtained. Hence **adjourned** this matter with direction to place before RTA with legal opinion.

Item No 227.Ratified

Item No 228. Ratified

Item No 229. Ratified

Item No 230. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 231. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 232. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 233. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 234. (1) Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

(2) Heard. As per section 83 of MV Act, replacement can be allowed from any vehicle covered by the permit by any other vehicle of the same nature. In this case, the renewal of permit of outgoing vehicle KL 9/M 1754 is pending and this RTA allowed to seek concurrence from Sister RTA Palakkad. As per section 83 of MV Act, replacement can be allowed only on a valid permit. Hence replacement allowed subject to the validation of permit and production of NOC from financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

(3) This is an application for temporary permit u/s 87(1) (d) for the stage carriage KL 49/6500 received on 05-07-2016 to operate in place of SC KL 9/M 1754. Renewal application is pending in respect of SC KL9/M 1754. Hence temporary permit u/s 87(1) (d) granted to SC KL9/M 1754, subject to the production of current records of Stage Carriage KL9/M 1754.

Item No 235. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 236. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 237. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 238. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 239. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 240. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 241. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 242. Heard, Secretary is *permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit* from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 243. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 244. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 245. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 246.

A.Perused.

B.Heard. This is a fast passenger SC permit (8/71994/1994) on the route Chottanikkara-Guruvayur Valid up to 21.02.2014. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case ,Secretary RTA is permitted to obtain concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

C.Perused.

Item No 247. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 248. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 249. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 250.

A.B.C. Heard, This is a fast passenger SC permit (8/130/2001) on the route Irinjalakuda-Kozhikode Valid up to 17.05.2016. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015), for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case ,Secretary RTA is permitted to obtain concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 251. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 252. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 253. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 254. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 255. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 256. At the time of hearing, KSRTC and other operators raised objections vehemently against the renewal of permit and seeking concurrence. Hence the Secretary RTA shall verify any legal implements in considering this application.

Adjourned.

Item No 257. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 258. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 259. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 260. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 261. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 262. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 263. Heard, Secretary is **permitted to seek concurrence for renewal of permit** from the concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route/approved scheme in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Item No 264. Heard, Secretary is directed to comply with the directions of this authority in decision in item no.306 B of this meeting.

Item No 265. **Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted**, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala.

Item No 266. **Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted**, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 267. **Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted**, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 268. **Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted**, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 269. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 270. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 271. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 272. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 273. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 274. *Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted*, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala

Item No 275.

A.Perused.

B.Heard. This is a request for removing six stopping places for LSOS stage carriages between Thrissur and Peechi.

The applicant had filed a WP© No. 33841/2015 before the Hon'ble High Court for the stopping places for LSOS between Thrissur and Peechi route as provided for Under Rule 206 of KMV Rule and erect sign boards on the route, and the Hon'ble High Court had directed, RTA Thrissur to consider the representation of the petitioner.

A route enquiry was conducted through the Motor Vehicle Inspector and he has reported the fixed LSOS stops on the route Thrissur Peechi are as follows:

Thrissur Sakthan, Mission Quarters, Paravattani, Ollukkara, Mannuthy, Mulayam Road, Thottapad, Mudikode, Chemboothra, Peechi Road Jn. Pattikkad, Edappalam, Pallikandam, Alppara, Palli stop, Cheenikkadavu road, Kannara, Vettilappara, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Payikkandam Road, Vilangannur, Peechi main road, Peechi.

The matter was considered by RTA, Thrissur by Circulation, under Rule 130 of KMV Rules and RTA decided to give necessary instructions to the PWD Authorities to erect bus stops board in the approved stops. Secretary RTA, has communicated the decision to the petitioner and the PWD authorities. Petitioner then filed another application with request to remove six LSOS stoping places namely Edappalam, Pallikandam, Palli stop, Cheenikkadav Road, Vettilappara, and Payikkandam . And also filed WP© No. 11112 /2016 before Hon'ble High Court for consideration of his request.

In obedience to the judgement in WP(C) 11112/2016, Secy RTA has clearly mentioned that there are 22 LSOS stopping places on the route Thrssur-Peechi which are already approved prior to 1995. Now the enroute passengers are enjoying the limited stop services with existing 22 stops. The existing facility cannot be deprived without any specific reason. Hence the request of the applicant to remove six LSOS stopping places out of 22 stops is not maintainable and rejected.

Item No 276.

A.1. Perused the judgement in WP© 12040/2016 dtd 4.4.2016 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala

2. Considered the request to allow the passing time at Ollur junction to all stage carriages conducting service from various Sectors (1) Ponnukkara – Ollur – Thrissur (2) Varandarappaly – Amballur – Ollur – Thrissur (3) Kallur – Amballur – Ollur – Thrissur (4) Cherppu – Anakallu – Ollur – Thrissur

B. Considered the request to correct the rate of running time allowed to Ordinary and mofussil stage carriage as 3mts /km and 2.5mt/km respectively instead of 3mts/km, on the route Thrissur – Ollur – Ponnukkara.

Considering the above judgement and requests from the Bus operators, Secretary RTA shall take an appropriate decision taking into consideration the facts contained in the agenda A (1) and A(2) and B

Item No 277. Heard. Compounded Rs 5000/-

Item No 278. Heard. Reconsidered the application for renewal of permit (belated) in respect of autorickshaw bearing registration mark KL 47 A 2086 with parking place at Sringapuram, Kodungallur. The applicant failed to submit good and sufficient reasons from making an application for renewal of permit within the time prescribed. Hence, application is rejected.

Item No 279

.Absent, adjourned.

Item No 280.

Heard, the authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing application of renewal of permit in respect of autorickshaw KL 08 BC 7336 having parking place at (Mission Hospital). Hence

condoned the delay and the renewal of permit is **granted** from the date of application, subject to realization of compounding fee for permitless-operation.

Item No 281.

This is an application from residents of Athani Bypass Junction for grant of a bus stop for limited stop stage carriages at Athani Bypass Junction Enquiry Office reported that if the existing stop is upgraded as limited stop bus shop, it is highly beneficial for the local intending passenger, including students. It is also reported that no bus bay is provided on either side of the road on the proposed bus stop area resulting in road block and affecting the free flow of traffic and road safety features.

Considering the all facts the present bus stop for ordinary stage carriages is upgraded to LSOS, subject to the providing of bus bay and shelter on either side of the road and approval of traffic regulating committee of concerned local body.

Item No 282.

Heard. Perused the reports of Joint RTO North Parur, FIR dated: 21-07-2016 filed before JFCM Court North Parur and the FIR dated: 21-07-2015 filed before JFCM Court, North Parur and also the report of Sub Inspector of Police dated: 08-08-2015. The vehicle involved in the above mentioned FIRs and reports is a stage carriage KL 46/E 9990 covered by a regular permit on the route Ernakulam-Guruvayur. This matter was heard in the open RTA in the presence of permit holder, but no satisfactory explanation has been offered while hearing and it is also found that no explanation is filed by the permit holder against the show cause notice dated: 09-08-2016. In the above mentioned notice, it was specifically mentioned that the deliberate, reckless driving of the vehicle without considering the other road users was the only reason for the accident in which the life of a young man aged 22 years was lost. It is also revealed from the reports in file that the crew of this vehicle, jointly driver and conductor, contributes for the reckless rash driving of the vehicle in other occasions also. Those reports with FIR are taken as material evidences in taking action on the permit of this vehicle. The above mentioned circumstances and material shows that the permit holder is not giving any

attention in supervising the actions of the crew, even though he was aware about the rash and rough behavior of his crew in this vehicle as evidenced from the reports brought before this authority. From the above mentioned details, this authority is convinced that the permit holder is not exercising any control over his crew for the safe operation of the service. Therefore it is necessary to taken an action against the permit for the violation of Rule 153 (2)(3) and 4 of KMV Rules. Hence this authority feels that it is necessary to suspend the permit for one month under section 86(1) of MV Act. The permit holder shall intimate the garaging of vehicle on receipt of communication of this decision by the Secretary RTA.

Item No 283.

Stage carriage KL48/3954 was covered by regular permit on the route Triprayar-Walayar valid up to 30.08.2015. In obedience to the judgement of Kerala High court in WP (C) No 1713/2015, the permit No. 8/320/2015, was kept under suspended animation till 15.05.2015 and also issued clearance certificate .

Notice under Rule 152 of KMV Rule was issued to the permit holder, Sri Arun A.P. He failed to offer any explanation and failed to produced a ready vehicle to replace in the permit and conduct service till date. A notice calling for explanation for taking action to cancel the permit under section 86 of MV Act was also used to the permit holder. But he failed to file any explanation so far.

Considering the above facts, the regular permit 8/320/2015, on the route Triprayar-Walayar is **revoked**.

Item No 284.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check

reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 285.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 286.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 287

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check

reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 288

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 289

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 290.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check

reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 291

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 292

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 293

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check

reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 294.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 295.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 296

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check

reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 297.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 298

Heard. Perused the file. On verification, the check reports presented in this item were seen compounded by the Secretary RTA Thrissur. Hence, no further action.

Item No 299.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 300.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also

alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 301.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 302.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 303.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also

alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 304.

Heard. It is alleged that the offender (permit holder) was not given with charge memo detailing the concerned Sections and Rules against the offences committed. It is also alleged that no specific direction was issued specifying the date and time of hearing on the explanation filed by the permit holder of the vehicle. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to comply the procedure laid down in Circular No.17/2011, by issuing hearing notice, proceedings thereafter. Secretary RTA is authorized to take action on the check reports in this item u/s 86(5) of MV Act, after complying with the above mentioned matters.

Item No 305

(1) Perused

(2) Perused the decision of STA, Kerala dated 8.11.2015 as departmental item vide order no. D1/UO Note/D2/STA/2015 deciding to fix the life span of ordinary, Limited stop stage carriage services as 10 years from the date of original registration of the vehicle in view of the G.O MS 45/2015 DTD 20.8.2015 Heard all the concerned. This authority is decided to give effect to the above decision of the STA, Kerala within one year from the date of communication of this RTA decision.

Item No 306

.A. 1 Perused. STA, Kerala decided the enhancement of General concurrence for the renewal of stage carriage permit from 20km to 50km in its meeting held on 29.02.2016 vide item no.12, vide order No. D2/10359/STA/2014. The STA directed to place the

matter before respective RTA without obtaining concurrence. In the view of the above decision of STA, this authority grants concurrence for renewal application submitted here after with sister Regional transport authorities, having route portion of distance up to 50 km. lying in Thrissur district subject to verification of scheme violation and any other legal impediments by the original authorities.

B) In the case of application for renewal of inter district permits of this region , this authority decided to obtain route enquiry report from other regions with details of objectionable overlapping ,nature of service etc. to overcome the difficulties presently faced by the operator due to delay in getting concurrence.

In such cases secretary RTA shall obtain route enquiry report from other regions before placing the applications for renewal of permit before the consideration of the RTA.

Item No 307.

Heard. The request to fix separate age limit for stage carriages while issuing fresh regular permits, as 5 years is considered. Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed study and report the feasibility and requirement in exclusively restricting the age limit of stage carriage as 5 years for issuing fresh regular permits. Hence decision of the request is **adjourned**.

Item No 308.

Perused the letter from Transport Commissioner No. D1/25934/TC/2013 dtd 3.5.2016. Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct a detailed study in this matter in consultation with District Police authorities and local bodies. The report shall be placed before the RTA with specific recommendation.

Item No 309. Agenda A perused
Agenda B Perused and Ratified

Item No 310. Agenda A. perused

B. Perused the judgement in WP(C) 20515/16 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala ,perused the records and connected files. This authority is decided to direct Secretary, RTA to comply with the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

Item No 311.

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No 312. Ratified

Item No 313. NIL

Item No 314. Will be decided and published.

Supplementary Item No. 1

Heard, This permit is issued after 09.05.2006. The decision on the application for renewal of this permit is adjourned in view of G.O(P)No.12878/B1/2015/Tran dated 08.02.2016 . Secretary is permitted to **issue** temporary permit as per clause 4 of G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009

Supplementary Item No. 2

1. Heard. This is an application for renewal of permit with stage carriage KL 08/AE 3241 on the route Chavakkad – Wadakkanchery valid up to 21.02.2016. Meanwhile the vehicle is operating with the strength of Temporary permit u/s 87 (1)(d) valid up to 13.10.2016. The application for renewal of permit was submitted on 31.05.2016. The permit holder had requested for delay condonation in the strength of a Medical Certificate. Hence delay condonation allowed. Secretary RTA is directed to verify the service of the vehicle after the expiry of the permit. If found conducted service collect compounding fee as prescribed for permit less operation. Renewal of permit is granted

from the date of application. Secretary is directed to **endorse** renewal in the permit after complying with the procedure laid down.

2. Heard. The application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL8/AE 3241 operating on the route Chavakkad – Wadakkanchery is granted vide Agenda (1) Hence there is no vacant time as applied by Sri. Sarath Kumar. Hence application for fresh permit in the vacant time has become infructuous. Hence it is **rejected**.

Supplementary Item No. 3

Heard. This is a limited stop fast passenger service, permit (8/515880/2005) on the route Guruvayur-Ernakulam Valid upto 22.01.2016. As per the order of Govt. of Kerala G.O(MS)No. 45/2015/tran dated 20/8/2015, for issuing ordinary limited stop service permits in this case, Secretary RTA is permitted to seek concurrence from concerned sister RTA, with specific mention regarding the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route, approved schemes in the jurisdiction of that RTA.

Supplementary Item No. 4.

Heard. The vehicle KL 08 AH 2728 having regular permit on the route Chottanikkara-Guruvayur (Via) Thripunithara, Ernakulam, Edappally, Pathalam, Koonammavu, Paravur, Kodungallur, Thripayar and Chettuva as LSFP valid till 21.02.2014. The permit is kept under suspended animation in the view of the order of Hon'ble HIGH Court in WP(C) No.21735/2011 dated 10.08.2011, wef. 07.03.2007. The permit holder of the above vehicle has applied for regular variation so as to vary the route by deviating from Koonammavu to Edappally via Varappuzha bridge instead of via Pathalam, FACT. The matter was placed before RTA dated 03.10.2015 and adjourned.

Route enquiry report of Motor Vehicle Inspector, Kodungallur reveals that the route is inter-district one. Total distance in Thrissur District is 53 Km & that in Ernakulam district is 46.5 Km.

Variation of permit application was considered RTA held on 28.11.2014 vide item No. 25 and took a decision " This is an application for variation of regular permit in

respect of stage carriage KL 08 AH 2728 by deviating from Koonammavu to Edapally via Varappuzha bridge instead of via Pathalam. This is a Limited Stop Fast Passenger Service. As per clause 3 of Notification No.G.O(P)No.73/2013 /Tran dated 16.07.2013, the permit issued in the private sector on or before the date of this Notification shall be allowed to continue till the date of expiry of respective permits. Thereafter no permit shall be renewed and no permit regular or temporary shall be issued afresh. The Government now decided to amend the said notification and instructed not to issue any permit in the route covered by the above scheme until further orders, vide letter No.10689/B1/2014/Trans dated 17.07.2014. Final notification in this regard not published by the Government. Hence, decision on the application is adjourned, awaiting the final order from the Government on the notification.”

In this case, the application for renewal of permit and conversion of limited stop fast passenger to Limited Stop Ordinary Service is considered and allowed vide item No. 246 in this Agenda. As per Draft Notification No. 12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016, further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances. Hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

Supplementary Item No. 5

A. Perused the Judgment in MVAA No.148/2013 of Hon’ble STAT ,Ernakulam.

B. Reconsidered the application for grant of variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 08 BG 5773 operating on the route Govindapuram-Guruvayur (Via) Kollengode, Nenmara, Chittilanchery, Vadakkumchery, Mannuthi, Thrissur, Punkunnam, Chondal, Chowallurpadi valid up to 18.05.2020. The applicant sought for variation so as to curtail the morning trip from Thrissur to Guruvayur and Additional trip from Thrissur to Police academy for a distance 6 KM. This item was considered by this RTA held on 22.01.2013 and rejected for the following grounds.

“1. Perused the Judgment in WP© No.24472/2012 of Hon’ble High Court of Kerala dt 19.10.2012.

2. Heard. The variation application includes curtailment of existing morning trip to Guruvayur from Thrissur and return to Thrissur. The field officer reported that the

curtailment of trips proposed on the school hours will adversely affect the students and public.

3.The extension proposed is through well served portion and it also cause to increase the number of trips through the notified route portion from Kuruppam road bell mouth to Bini Junction and Paramekkavu to Muncipal office Bus stand which are violation of clause 19 of the notification No.GO(P) No.42/2009/Trans dt 14.07.2009.

4.This authority found that the variation proposed is not satisfying the convenience of travelling public as laid down in provisio to Sub section 3 (ii) of Section 80 of MV Act and is found as more disadvantageous to travelling public.

For the above reasons the variation application is rejected.”

Subsequently the applicant produced a judgment of Hon’ble STAT in MVAA no.148/2013 and the Hon’ble STAT viewed that the total overlapping only is 1.5 Km ,which is within the permissible limit and the view taken by the RTA is not sustainable and directed to consider afresh the request of the appellant , after ascertaining whether the benefit the accord on account of the proposed variation will outweigh the inconvenience caused to the travelling public on account of the proposed curtailment. There for appropriate order shall be passed on the application for variation of the permit submitted by the appellant, accordance with law.

Moreover this application was considered in RTA held on 07.08.2014 and rejected since there is no change in circumstances and variation applied is not found for the convenience of travelling public.

Considering all the above facts and on perusal of the order of Hon’able STAT and it is seen that the Honorable STAT has not considered the purport of clause 19 of G.O (P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. As per clause 19 of the above notification extension of routes through any portion of the notified routes will amount to the introduction of a new service on that portion of the notified route. While taking that view, no variation or extension, overlapping on a notified route or part thereof can be allowed. Even if the total overlapping is within the 5% of the route length. Variation to a new overlapping portion on the notified route cannot be allowed as per clause 19 of

the above notification. Hence, it is found necessary to file a review petition to bring this matter before the Honorable STAT.

The secretary is also directed to take steps to file a writ petition by the Government as the order of STAT is found against the clause 19 of the G.O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009.

Supplementary Item No. 6

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 7

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 8

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 9

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 10

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 11

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 12

Heard. Considered the joint application for transfer of regular permit with stage carriage KL-10/AJ 6162 operating on the route Pazhayannur – Wadakkanchery Applicants are Sri. V. P. Johny and Sr. Sukumaran V.A. Enquiry Officer reported that the possession of the Vehicle has not changed and 2nd applicant is financially fit to maintain stage carriage service. He had also reported that the application is genuine and bonafide. While considering the same in RTA meeting, the permit holder submitted a request before Chairman, RTA stating that he is with drawing his joint application and does not intend to transfer the Vehicle, at present. Considering the above request, application is rejected.

Supplementary Item No. 13

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 14

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 15

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 16

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 17

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 18

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 19

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 20

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 21

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 22

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 23

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 24

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 25

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 26

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 27

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 28

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 29

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 30

Heard. **Transfer of permit allowed**, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and (2) clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Supplementary Item No. 31

Ratified

Supplementary Item No. 32

Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran

dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala.

Supplementary Item No. 33

Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala.

Supplementary Item No. 34

Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala.

Supplementary Item No. 35

Concurrence for renewal of permit is granted, subject to stipulations in the Notifications (No.12878/B1/2015/Tran. dated 08.02.2016 & No.G.O(P) No.73/2013/Tran dated 16.07.2013) & Order(No. G.O(MS) No.45/2015/Tran dated 20.08.2015) of Government of Kerala.

Supplementary Item No. 36 .

Heard, in view of the order of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 30.08.2016 in WP(C)No.28720/2016(L), this application for temporary permit in respect of stage carriage KL 47 B 9990 in the vacancy of stage carriage KL 47 3132 on the route Guruvayur-Ernakulam (via Pathalam) as LSOS is considered and a ***temporary permit for four months is granted***. The grant of this temporary permit will not entitle the grant of renewal of regular permit if otherwise ordered by the Hon'ble High Court in this case.

Supplementary Item No. 37

Absent. Adjourned.

Supplementary Item No. 38

Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Supplementary Item No. 39

Heard, transfer of permit allowed, subject to (1) submitting NOC from the HP Co., if applicable and Clearance of Govt. dues , if any.

Supplementary Item No. 40

Absent adjourned.

Sd/-

Chairman &Members, R.T.A Thrissur

True Copy Sd/-Secretary RTA Thrissur